

(6-5)

*

) (6-5) ((30) : .T-Test ()

. (6-5) . 6-5 :

.1

1997 2003 Kruger 2004 2005)
.(1993 1993 Elliot

. (2002)
(Stein, 2003)

.
:

2007/8/23
2008/2/16

.2

:

()

.(2002)

1993

2001

2000

1993

(Educational Reform for Knowledge (ERFKE)
(2003) Economy)

.

:

:

:

:

.

:

.(2003)

.1

(6-5)

.2

(6-5)

.3

)

.(

.

(Kruger 2003)

(8)

.

.

.4

.

(2004)

.

(52)

()

(30)

(26)

(15)

2007/2006

.

(2005)

(9)

(15) (6)

(5-3)

(6)

(9)

.

(36)

.

.

.(2002)

.

(6-5)

:

•

(1993)

• (1998) (1997)

(2002) (2000) (2000)

(2006) (2004) (2003)

(2006)

(20) .1

(20) .2 (2002)

(20) (1989)

.3

.4

.5

()

(2000) .

(8) (24)

(10) :

(40)

•

•

•

•

(1) (5)

•

(1)

0.947	1.59	13.90	1.53	14.35		
0.907	0.59	6.66	0.84	6.62		
0.990	22.27	76.67	21.78	77.67		
0.845	5.28	12.50	4.68	13.33		
0.979	1.69	5.78	1.72	5.70		

$.0.811 = (0.05 \geq \alpha)$ = *

(2)
()

0.571	0.57	0.84	13.42		20
		1.12	13.21		
0.068	1.89	0.87	7.15		20
		0.46	6.67		
0.092	1.74	8.42	81.20		
		11.99	74.60		
0.104	1.67	3.36	8.40		
		4.97	11.00		
0.073	1.87	1.41	4.03		
		0.86	4.83		

.2.048 = (0.05 ≥ α) *

(0.05 ≥ α) (2) ()
()
(2.048) ()
(0.05)
(3) ()
()

.1
.2

.1
.2
.3
.4
.T-test () .5

(1997) (2003 Wang) (2003 Kruger)

:
:

(2002)

(kruger 2003)

(2000)

(2001)

(2004)

(3)

()

0.000	7.68	0.84	13.42			20
		1.05	10.82			
0.000	4.83	0.87	7.15			20
		0.57	5.97			
0.000	5.95	8.42	81.20			
		13.64	99.47			
0.000	7.03	3.36	8.40			
		2.06	15.40			
0.000	7.61	1.41	4.03			
		1.27	7.50			

.2.045 = (0.05 ≥ α)

*

(4)

()

0.239	1.23	1.12	13.21			20
		1.06	12.77			
0.158	1.49	0.46	6.67			20
		0.89	7.00			
0.060	2.04	11.99	74.60			
		11.74	76.27			
0.453	0.77	4.97	11.00			
		3.81	10.07			
0.71	1.95	0.86	4.83			
		0.70	4.96			

.2.045 = (0.05 ≥ α)

*

:

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(2001)

()

(4)

()

(2004)

()

(1993)

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

2.045

()

:

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

()

(5)

()

0.000	5.06	1.05	10.82			20
		1.06	12.77			
0.001	3.79	0.57	5.97			20
		0.89	7.00			
0.000	4.99	13.64	99.47			
		11.74	76.27			
0.000	7.77	2.06	15.40			
		3.81	10.07			
0.000	6.78	1.27	7.50			
		0.70	4.96			

.2.048 = $(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

*

(5)

)

()

.6

(

.1

-5)

(6

.2

.3

(Bekey 2007)

.1

(2002)

.2

.3

.4

(Torbet 2005)

.5

(1997)

(2000)

(2001)

(2004)

.6

(2005)

2002

2004

1993

(6-4)

2001

- 2004 1 2003
- 2002 2005
- .1 (5-3)
- 2002
- 1989 1
- 2003 5 1998
- Elliott, Gloria Horton. 1997. an Investigation into a Movement Education Program on Motor Creativity in Pre-School Children in Inclusive and General Physical Education Environments, Dissertation, The Ohio State University, Available at: <http://proquest.umi.com>.
- 2000
- (6-4)
- Kruger, Elmin. 2003. The Influence of a Fundamental Motor Skills Program on the Physical and Cognitive Development of the Grade 1 Child, International Abstract Dissertation, University of Pretoria, Available at: <http://proquest.umi.com>.
- 1993
- Skolnick, Sidney Jay. 1981. The Effect Of Physical Activities On Academic Achivment In Elementary School Children, Temple University, Dissertation, Available At: <http://proquest.umi.com>.
- 2000
- 2004
- Stein, Julian. 2003. Should The Main Objective of Adopted Physical Education Be The Development of Motor Skills on The Development of Self-Esteem, article, *The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 74 (9):10-12/ 3. Availabel at: <http://web.ebscohost.com>.
- 1 2006
- Torbert, Marianne. 2005. Using Active Group Games to Develop Basic Life Skills, Journal, Young Children, 60, 72-78, Availabel at: <http://web.ebscohost.com>.
- 1997
- Wang, Joanne Hoi-Tzo. 2003. The Effects of Creative Movement Program on Motor Creativity and Gross Motor Skills of Pre-School Children, University of South Dakota, Dissertation, 124 pages, Available at: <http://proquest.umi.com>.
- 2006
- 2002

The Effect of Motor Skill Program on the Development of Basic Motor Skills for Children from (5-6) Years

*Bayan M. Hammoudeh and Sadiq K. Alhayek **

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at identifying the effects of a motor skill program on improving basic skills for children from (5-6). It used an Experimental design by using an experimental and control groups. A motor skill program was established after reviewing specialized studies and consulting with scientific experts. The sample of study was thirty children. The researcher has used in her statistical treatment Person's Coefficient, means, standard deviations, percentages, and T test. The results of the study have shown that there are significant differences between the two groups in all variables in favor of the Experimental group. It recommended that using this suggested program for this age of all schools in Jordan.

Keywords: A Motor Skill Program, Basic Motor Skills, Children from (5-6) Years.

* Ministry of Education and Faculty of Physical Education, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan. Received on 23/8/2007 and Accepted for Publication on 16/2/2008.